	•	
	Applicant(s)	
	Ser	ban
-	Art Unit	

Interview Summary

morrion cannary	Karl Easthom	2832	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	PTO personnel):		
1) Karl Easthom	(3)		-y
2) Dennis Rodgers	(4)		
Date of Interview Dec 9, 2002			
Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy is given to 1) applicate shibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	ant 2) applicant's representa		
Claim(s) discussed:			
dentification of prior art discussed: Kirby, Fukui, Kikuo			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reac	thed. g)🛛 was not reached.	h)	
Substance of Interview including description of the gen	neral nature of what was agreed	to if an agreemen	t was reached, or
The rejections were discussed with applicant requesting			
discussing the arguments, the examiner pointed out the	at Kikuo at Fig. 30 appeared to s	how electrodes E	o,Ep with a layer_
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the an allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	mendments which the examiner a	agreed would renc t would render the	ler the claims e claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s	separate record of the substance	of the interview (if box is checked).

Application No.

09/848,402

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required